

1. Applica	tion details							
	it application de	otails						
Permit applica		342/1						
Permit type:		Area Permit						
1.2 Drope	nont dataila							
1.2. Propo Proponent's na	onent details	Crossus Mining N I						
Froponent S h	ame.	Croesus Mining N.L.						
-	erty details	N00/400						
Property:		M30/122 M30/48						
Local Governn	ant Araa	M30/48 Shire Of Menzies						
Colloquial nan		Shire Or ivienzies						
	cation							
Clearing Area	(ha) No. 1	Frees		f Clearing		the purpose of:		
2			Mechani	cal Removal	Min	ing		
2. Site Info	rmation							
2.1. Existi	ng environmen	nt and info	ormation	1				
2.1.1. Descr	iption of the nati	ive vegeta	ation unde	er application				
Vegetation Des		ing Descrip		Vegetation Condition	tion	Comment		
Beard Vegetatio	-	lland with eu	ucalyptus	Very Good: Vegeta	tion	On site visit (09/03/2005) the area under application had		
Association 502		es and mid o		structure altered;		been recently disturbed by exploration drilling. Vegetation		
woodland, Gold blackbutt and re		ntact underst		obvious signs of disturbance (Keigh	erv	that had not been disturbed was in good condition and representative of the vegetation community in the broader		
(Hopkins et al. 2		.cacia sp. (si		1994)	Cry	area.		
Shepherd et al.	2001) 09/03/	/2005)						
2 100000	mont of onnling	tion ogoi	not alea	ing principles				
3. Assess	ment of applica	ition agai	inst clear	ring principles				
(a) Native	vegetation sho	uld not b	e cleared	d if it comprises	s a h	igh level of biological diversity.		
Comments				-				
Comments				variance to this		•		
						as noted that a section had been recently disturbed in Schedule 1 of the Environmental Protection		
	(Clearing of Nat				Jour			
			, .					
						is in good condition and representative of the		
						community is widespread and therefore the clearing		
	is not considered likely to be at variance with this Principle							
Methodology	Site visit 09/03/2005							
(b) Native v	regetation shou	uld not be	e cleared	if it comprises	the	whole or a part of, or is necessary for the		
						to Western Australia.		
Comments				-				
Somments	-	-		variance to this		ICIPIE bry of disturbance and some clearing for exempt		
	purposes has been undertaken. It is unlikely that any Specially Protected or Priority species in hat area as the majority found in this region have special habitat requirements. For example the Mallee							
						ent from the photos provided by the proponent.		
Methodology	Information from	n proponen	nt (KGI218)				
() .								
(c) Native	vegetation sho	uld not b	e cleared	a if it includes,	or is	necessary for the continued existence of,		

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, significant flora.

Comments Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle No Declared Rare or Priority Flora are recorded within 30km of the proposed clearing. Therefore this proposal is not likely to be at variance with this Principle.

Methodology	GIS Database: Declared Rare and Priority Fl	ora List - CALM	13/08/03				
	regetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the						
Comments	nance of a significant ecol Proposal is not likely to l	•	-	nciple			
	There are no Threatened Ecological communities within 30km of the proposed clearing. Therefore this proposal is not likely to be at variance with this Principle.						
Methodology	GIS database: Threatened Ecological Communities - CALM 15/7/03						
	vegetation should not be o s been extensively cleared		significant	as a remnant	of native vege	tation in an area	
Comments	Proposal is not at variance to this Principle The State Government is committed to the National Objectives Targets for Biodiversity Conservation 2001-2005 (AGPS 2001) which includes a target that prevent clearance of ecological communities with an extent below 30% that present pre-1750 (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002; EPA 2000). The vegetation at the site is a component of Beard Vegetation Association 502 (Hopkins et al. 2001) of which there is ~100% of the pre- European extent remaining (Shepherd et al. 2001). This vegetation type is therefore of 'least concern' for biodiversity conservation (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002).						
		Pre-European area (ha)	Current extent (ha)	Remaining %*	Conservation Status**	% in reserves/CALM- managed land	
	IBRA Bioregion – Murchison Shire - Menzies	28,206,195 No information	28,206,195 available	100	Least concern		
	Beard vegetation association - 502 * Shepherd et al. (2001) ** Department of Natural Res	48,474	48,474	100.0	Least concern	0.0	
Methodology	Hopkins et al. (2001) Shepherd et al. (2001) Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) EPA (2002)						
• •	vegetation should not be o ated with a watercourse or		growing in,	or in associa	ation with, an e	nvironment	
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle A non-perennial watercourse lies adjacent to the area under application. The aerial photograph provided by Croesus Mining NL shows a line of denser vegetation following this watercourse and this denser vegetation is not present in the proposed clearing area.						
Methodology	Aerial photograph provided by Croesus Mining NL GIS Database: Rivers 250K - GA						
	vegetation should not be c gradation.	cleared if the	clearing of t	he vegetation	n is likely to ca	use appreciable	
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The proposed area of clearing is in a region that receives an average annual rainfall of 300mm and an average annual evaporation rate of 3000mm-3200mm, so there is little surface flow during normal seasonal rains. Therefore erosion or appreciable land degradation from water flow is unlikely to occur.						
Methodology	GIS Databases: Evaporation Isopleths - BOM Rainfall, Mean Annual - BOM						
						Page 2	

Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle No conservation areas were identified within 30km of the area under application.				
Methodology	GIS Database: CALM Managed Lands and Waters - CALM 1/06/04				
	vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioratio uality of surface or underground water.				
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The area under application is not within a Public Drinking Water Source Area (PDWSA). The groundwater within the area is saline (1000-3000mg/L), therefore it is unlikely that the clearing as proposed would have a significant effect on groundwater quality.				
	With the area under application receiving little rainfall (~300mm per annum) and as the waterbody adjacent to the area under application is a non-perennial stream, it is also unlikely that surface water quality would be effected by the proposed clearing.				
Methodology	GIS Databases: PDWSA Protection Zones - DOE 7/1/04 Evaporation Isopleths - BOM 09/98 Rainfall, Mean Annual - BOM 30/09/01 Lakes 1M - GA 01/06/00 Grounwater Salinity, Statewide - 22/02/00 Groundwater Provinces - WRC 98 Rainfall, Mean Annual - BOM 30/09/01				
	vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the ce of flooding.				
Comments	Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle The proposed area of clearing is in a region that has low annual rainfall (300mm) and a high annual evaporation rate (3000-3200mm) so there is little surface flow during normal seasonal rains. The waterbody adjacent to the area under application is non-perennial and it is unlikely that the clearing as proposed would have a significant impact on peak flood height or duration.				
Methodology	GIS Databases: Evaporation Isopleths - BOM 09/98 Rainfall, Mean Annual - BOM 30/09/01 Hydrographic Catchments - Catchments - DOE 23/3/05				
Planning ins	strument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.				
Comments	The Department of Industry and Resources (DoIR) has no objections to the clearing as proposed.				
Methodology	There is a Native Title Claim over the area under application by the Maduwongga and Wongatha peoples. However, mining tenements for purposes consistent with the clearing have been granted so therefore the granting of a clearing permit is not a future act under the Native Title Act. Submission from DoIR (NI999)				

Purpose	Method	area (ha)/ trees	Decision	comment / recommendation
Mining	Mechanical Removal	2	Grant	The assessable criteria have been addressed and the clearing as proposed is not at variance with any of the Principles.

The assessing officer recommends that the permit should be granted.

5. References

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales ; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1.

CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press. Keighery, BJ (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc). Nedlands, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.